

UNITED STATES PATENT TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL DIG D ATT			
	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
08/734,592	10/22/1996	ANDREW GOODEARL	CNS-5250.27-	1576
7590 04/20/2004 MARK FARBER ACORDA THERAPEUTICS, INC. SIX LANDMARK SQUARE SUITE 400			EXAMINER	
			GUCKER, STEPHEN	
		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
STANFORD, (CT 06901	.′		
		·	DATE MAILED: 04/20/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summ	08/734,592	GOODEÁRL ET AL.				
Office Action Summ	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Stephen Gucker	1647				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM						
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was really reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	•					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 Ju	<u>ıly 2003</u> .					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims		·				
<u> </u>	n the application					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>132,138 and 141-143</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>132,138,141-143</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.					
Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applicati	ion No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	·	ed in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2/14/02

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

6) Other: ____.

Art Unit: 1647

Response to Amendment

- 1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 2. Any objections or rejections made in a previous Office Action that are not herein reinstated have been withdrawn.
- 3. The Examiner would like to thank Applicant for the response to the second request for information under 37 CFR 1.105. It was extremely helpful. To protect Applicant's patent rights should the instant Application be allowed and proceed to issue, Applicant should update the information previously provided when new Applications are filed pertaining to similar subject matter in this Application family.
- 4. Claims 132, 138, and 141-143 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 91-103 and 108-110 of co-pending U.S. Application No. 08/468,731. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the polypeptides administered by the process steps recited in the instant Application for a method of inducing acetylcholine receptor synthesis in a cell by administering an amino acid sequence encoded by SEQ ID NOS:155-159, or an amino acid sequence provided in SEQ ID NOS:152, are polypeptides which are identical to the polypeptides administered in the co-pending Application (SEQ ID NOS:152, 415-419, and nucleotide sequence SEQ ID NO:150 (amino acids 54-103 encoded by nucleotides 161-310 of

Art Unit: 1647

SEQ ID NO:150)) which recites methods of increasing myotube formation, myotube survival, or induction of a muscle developmental program, all of which are inherent features or outcomes that result from the identical process steps of the administration of the same polypeptides in the instant Application.

- Claims 132, 138, and 141-143 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine 5. of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 11 of copending U.S. Application No. 09/530,884. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the co-pending claim recites the administration of polypeptides (encoded by SEQ ID NOS:49 and 51) for treating a mammal suffering from or susceptible to stroke, brain or spinal cord injury or ischemia, or heart attack, and these administered polypetides comprise the polypeptide fragments administered in the instant Application. The co-pending claim is a sub-genus of the instant claims because it recites a genus that is smaller than the instant genus, but completely encompassed by the claimed instant genus. Therefore, a species-genus relationship exists between the patented claim and the instant claims, and the patented claim renders the instant claims obvious because the patented claim anticipates the instant claims and the patented species or sub-genus renders the instant genus claims obvious. See, e.g., In re Berg, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); In re Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985).
- **6.** No claim is allowed.

Page 3

Art Unit: 1647

- 7. As allowable subject matter has been indicated, applicant's reply must either comply with all formal requirements or specifically traverse each requirement not complied with. See 37 CFR 1.111(b) and MPEP § 707.07(a).
- 8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. It is Applicant's responsibility and duty to disclose any co-pending applications that present double-patenting issues before the Office at the earliest possible time during prosecution, and it is Applicant's duty to maintain distinct lines of demarcation between the inventions of co-pending Applications. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

9. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technical Center 1600 general number which is (571) 272-1600.

Art Unit: 1647

Page 5

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen Gucker whose telephone number is (571) 272-0883. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 0930 to 1800. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Kunz, can be reached at (571) 272-0887. The fax phone number for this Group is currently (703) 872-9306.

56

Stephen Gucker

April 16, 2004

CARY KUNZ

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER